IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

Ana	anda Camargo Chavez		\$			
v.	Plaintiff(s),	\$ \$ \$ \$,	1: <u>24</u> -CV- <u>5</u>	504RP		
		S				
Reb	ouilt Brokerage LLC et al					
	Defendant(s).	8				
	AGREED S	SCHEDULIN	G ORDER			
	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil P	rocedure 16, th	e following Agreed Sched	uling Order is		
issued	by the Court:					
1.	A report on alternative dispute reso	olution in comp	oliance with Local Rule CV	7-88 shall be filed		
	on or beforeNovember 10, 2024	4				
2.	The parties asserting claims for relief shall submit a written offer of settlement to oppose					
	parties on or beforeJanuary 10.	, 2025	, and each o	opposing party		
	shall respond, in writing, on or before	ore <u>January</u>	24, 2025	All		
	offers of settlement are to be private	te, not filed. Th	e parties are ordered to re	tain the written		
	offers of settlement and responses	so the Court m	ay use them in assessing a	ttorney's fees and		
	costs at the conclusion of the trial.					
3.	Each party shall complete and file t	the attached "N	lotice Concerning Referen	ice to United		
	States Magistrate Judge" on or befo	oreJuly 25,	2024	·		
4.	The parties shall file all motions to	amend or supp	element pleadings or to joi	n additional		
	parties on or beforeOctober 10	6, 2024				

5.	All parties asserting claims for relief shall file their designation of testifying experts and serve					
	on all parties, but not file, the materials required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure					
	26(a)(2)(B) on or beforeJanuary 10, 2025 Parties resisting					
	claims for relief shall file their designation of testifying experts and serve on all parties, but					
	not file, the materials required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) on or before					
	January 24, 2025 All parties shall file all designations of					
	rebuttal experts and serve on all parties the material required by Federal Rule of Civil					
	Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) for such rebuttal experts, to the extent not already served, 15 days					
	from the receipt of the report of the opposing expert.					
6.	An objection to the reliability of an expert's proposed testimony under Federal Rule of					
	Evidence 702 shall be made by motion, specifically stating the basis for the objection and					
	identifying the objectionable testimony, within 11 days from the receipt of the written report					
	of the expert's proposed testimony, or within 11 days from the completion of the expert's					
	deposition, if a deposition is taken, whichever is later.					
7.	The parties shall complete all discovery on or beforeMarch 7, 2025					
8.	All dispositive motions shall be filed on or beforeMarch 21, 2025					
	and shall be limited to 20 pages. Responses shall be filed and served on all other parties not					
	later than 14 days after the service of the motion and shall be limited to 20 pages. Any replies					
	shall be filed and served on all other parties not later than 7 days after the service of the					
	response and shall be limited to 10 pages, but the Court need not wait for the reply before					
	ruling on the motion.					
9.	The Court will set this case for final pretrial conference at a later time. The final pretrial					
	conference shall be attended by at least one of the attorneys who will conduct the trial for					

	each of the parties and by any unrepresented parties. The parties should consult Local Rule						
	CV-16(e) regarding matters to be filed in advance of the final pretrial conference. The						
	parties shall not complete the following paragraph. It will be completed by the Court at the initial pretrial conference to be scheduled by the Court.						
10.	This case is set for	_ trial commencing	at 9:30 a.m. on				
		, 20	Jury selection may be				
	conducted by a United States Magistrate Judge the Friday before the case is set for						
	trial. Given that (1) many cases resolve before trial and (2) the Austin Division has						
	only one active district court judg	ge, the Court may	set a criminal case and several				
	civil cases for the same trial week	k. The Court recog	nizes the inconvenience this may	r			
	cause counsel and parties if a tria	al is moved shortly	before the trial date, but the				
	Court must balance that inconvenience with its need to effectively deploy limited						
	judicial resources.						
	The parties may modify the deadline	es in this Order by a	agreement, with the exception of the				
dispos	sitive motions deadline and the trial da	ate. Those dates are	firm. The Court may impose				
sanctio	ons under Federal Rule of Civil Proce	edure 16(f) if the par	ties do not make timely submissions	;			
under	this Order. For cases brought pursua	nt to the Freedom o	of Information Act (FOIA), the				
parties	s may instead follow the standard disc	closure process and	will have an initial pretrial conferenc	(
only b	y request.						
	SIGNED on		_, 20				
		ROBERT PITM UNITED STAT	IAN 'ES DISTRICT JUDGE				